

Sheriff Kelly A. Martinez
San Diego County Sheriff's Office
John F. Duffy Administrative Center
9621 Ridgehaven Court
San Diego, CA 92123

Re: Request for Independent Investigation — Malaika and Xayah Robinson

Sheriff Martinez,

I am writing because what has happened to my family has gone far beyond an ordinary disagreement with Child Welfare Services. For years, my family has fought to bring Malaika and Xayah home, and instead of seeing the system remain focused on whether these children are safe, loved, bonded, and surrounded by family, we have watched the focus repeatedly shift to the people who speak out. The blog, the website, photographs, QR codes, flyers, public protests, appearances before the Board of Supervisors, and criticism of government officials repeatedly became part of the case narrative. Meanwhile, the family members and advocates demanding accountability were increasingly treated as the problem.

At the San Diego County Board of Supervisors, I introduced myself by saying, in substance, Ricky Robinson here. Standing before you is the most dangerous man in San Diego. I said that sarcastically because that is how absurd the dangerous-grandfather narrative has become. I was approved as a family placement. I spent years caring for my elderly mother as her caregiver, conservator, and trustee. Yet after my family began publicly challenging what was happening to Malaika and Xayah, I was increasingly portrayed as dangerous and ultimately removed from their lives. I have now gone approximately a year without seeing my granddaughters. If officials truly believe I am dangerous, then investigate me. Review my history, interview me, examine the recordings, review the reports, and put the evidence on the table. But if that label cannot withstand an actual investigation, it should not be used to justify keeping me from my grandchildren.

These are supposed to be my golden years. Instead, I am spending them fighting to preserve my family and bring my granddaughters home. My mother died while this fight was still going on. Malaika and Xayah lost irreplaceable time with their great-grandmother, and none of us can ever get that back. I will not remain silent simply because public criticism makes government officials uncomfortable. When government agencies have enormous power over where children live, whom they see, and whether they remain connected to their own family, silence is not an option.

I am especially concerned about the role of Norma Olivares, a private caregiver who has exercised extraordinary influence over Evelyn Lopez's relationship with her own daughters.

Evelyn is their mother. She participated in services, therapy, visitation, and reunification efforts and continued fighting to maintain her bond with her children. Yet Norma remained positioned between Evelyn and her daughters while Child Welfare Services, County Counsel, and the guardian ad litem repeatedly supported continued separation. Evelyn has described Norma monitoring her communications, reporting her lawful contacts with the paternal family to social workers, interfering with family relationships, and treating Evelyn's contact with me or Keenan as something that should count against her. When a private caregiver can influence government decisions that determine whether a mother remains connected to her children, that conduct deserves independent scrutiny.

There are also extremely serious statements that entered the child-welfare record. Evelyn Lopez has stated that she never told Norma Olivares or Ronald Rivas Silverio that I raped her, and she never told them that I sexually abused Malaika. Yet versions of those accusations appeared in the record. There is also a report stating that Evelyn and I followed Norma from the hospital after Xayah had been hospitalized with pneumonia. We did not follow her. Statements involving rape, sexual abuse, stalking, and danger are not harmless mistakes when they are placed into government reports and then used in proceedings that can permanently separate a family. I am asking your office to determine where those statements originated, who repeated them, what evidence supported them, and whether false information was knowingly or recklessly supplied to government officials.

Audra Morgan is also an important part of this history. Malaika spoke to Audra about private matters involving her body and what was happening to her. Instead of that disclosure simply being treated as something requiring careful, independent examination, Audra herself was pulled into the case narrative. Reports were used to portray her negatively, and she was later excluded from courtrooms and from the girls' lives. Audra is already well known to local law enforcement because of her public advocacy and willingness to speak openly about child-welfare practices. That makes the sequence even more important to examine. When someone receives a child's private disclosure and then becomes another person pushed out of the child's life, the question should be whether the underlying concern was independently investigated and whether outspoken advocates were being treated differently because they challenged the system.

The Spring Valley visit should be preserved and reviewed in full. Deputies were present during what became one of the last visits between Evelyn and her daughters. Malaika and Xayah were holding pink signs that said I LOVE MOM. A photograph exists showing this outside the visit, and the image was also noticed and written about by a reporter. Those children were visibly expressing love for the only mother they have ever known while the government process around them was moving toward permanent separation. If body-worn

camera footage exists, I am asking that it be preserved along with CAD records, dispatch information, reports, photographs, and communications connected with that visit. Those records may show something very different from the narrative that has been built around this family.

The bond between these girls and their mother was not merely something family members claimed. Expert testimony was given that the bond was strong and that severing it would cause catastrophic psychological and emotional harm. That testimony matters. It should matter enormously. When an expert warns a court that terminating a parent-child relationship will cause catastrophic harm, and the children themselves continue showing intense attachment and distress around separation, those facts should not be minimized, buried, or contradicted by reports that fail to describe what actually happens at the end of visits.

That is another issue that deserves review. My family repeatedly observed severe distress when visits ended. The girls cried, resisted separation, and struggled with transitions back to the caregiver. Transitional therapy was used because those transitions were not going well. Yet we have seen reports that do not reflect the full intensity of those endings or the strength of the mother-child bond. If social workers were present for those transitions, their reports should accurately describe what occurred. If recordings, videos, therapy records, or other evidence show a materially different picture, that discrepancy deserves examination.

There are also medical and dental matters that trouble us deeply. Malaika's upper front baby teeth were extracted while she was in caregiver placement, and Evelyn states she was not informed beforehand. Xayah experienced substantial medical problems after removal, and Evelyn and advocate Audra Morgan later obtained more than 500 pages of medical records concerning her care. The children also experienced significant distress after separation from their mother. A child-protection system is supposed to reduce trauma, not add to it while minimizing evidence of the harm being caused.

What makes this case even more disturbing is how often protected speech appears to sit at the center of the escalation. The blog, the website, flyers, QR codes, protests, public criticism, and appearances before the Board of Supervisors repeatedly became subjects of reports, restrictions, and concern. What I do not see receiving the same relentless attention is credible evidence that Malaika and Xayah were endangered by the family members fighting to bring them home. A child-protection system is supposed to investigate danger to children. It is not supposed to punish families or advocates because they expose government conduct, embarrass officials, or refuse to remain quiet.

I am therefore asking your office to determine whether conduct connected with this case crossed into false reporting, unlawful surveillance, obstruction, evidence manipulation, retaliation, or other criminal or civil-rights violations. I am also asking you to determine whether Sheriff's Department personnel have been drawn into a child-welfare narrative without independently examining evidence that may contradict it. My family has repeatedly encountered the attitude that everyone should simply listen to the agency. That is not enough. Law enforcement is supposed to investigate independently, preserve evidence, follow facts wherever they lead, and protect the public rather than simply defer to another government agency.

If I am dangerous, investigate me. If Evelyn Lopez is dangerous, identify the evidence. If Malaika and Xayah were endangered by their mother or their paternal family, identify the evidence. But if those claims cannot withstand serious examination, then someone in law enforcement needs to ask why this family has endured years of separation, accusations, restrictions, retaliation, and permanent damage while two little girls continue losing their childhood with people who love them.

I am not going to stop speaking because someone finds my speech inconvenient. I will continue preserving recordings, reports, medical records, videos, photographs, court documents, and evidence. I will continue identifying contradictions and asking public officials to answer for what has happened. That does not make me dangerous. It makes me a grandfather who refuses to abandon his grandchildren.

I have not kept this matter hidden or confined to private complaints. I have written repeatedly to elected officials and oversight bodies at every level of government, including the White House, the Office of the First Lady, United States senators, members of Congress, state and local officials, civil-rights offices, journalists, reporters, newspapers, filmmakers, and other members of the media. I have sent records, photographs, recordings, timelines, and descriptions of what has happened to Malaika and Xayah and to our family. I have done that for one reason: because when local systems refuse to seriously examine what is happening, a family has no choice but to keep taking the evidence higher and wider until somebody with authority pays attention.

That outreach should not be treated as evidence that I am dangerous or disruptive. It is evidence that I have spent years using lawful speech, petitions, public comment, media outreach, and government oversight channels to protect my granddaughters and demand accountability.

The bottom line, Sheriff Martinez, is that my family is asking law enforcement to investigate whether San Diego County Child Welfare Services and the dependency-court process have

been infected by false testimony, perjury, fabricated or misleading reports, retaliation, case manipulation, and coordinated conduct that has terrorized an entire family. Malaika and Xayah are not children without family, and they are not children without anyone willing to care for them. They have a mother, a father, a grandfather, siblings, extended family, and people who have fought for them for years. Yet they remain separated from their family and placed with Norma Olivares despite the evidence of their bond, their distress, and expert testimony warning of catastrophic psychological and emotional harm. If there is no lawful, evidence-based reason for keeping these girls from their family, then their continued separation demands investigation.

Sheriff Martinez, I am asking you to personally ensure that this matter receives a serious and independent review. Please do not simply refer this complaint back into the same child-welfare system whose conduct is being questioned. Preserve the relevant Sheriff's Department evidence, review the Spring Valley encounter, examine the allegations and contradictions, examine the expert testimony concerning catastrophic psychological and emotional harm, and determine whether an independent criminal or civil-rights investigation is warranted.

Malaika and Xayah are not case numbers. Evelyn Lopez is not simply another name in a dependency file. She is their mother. I am their grandfather. My family has already lost years that can never be recovered. This has to stop.

Please be advised that this letter and supporting materials may be published online and made available to the public as part of my continuing effort to document this case, preserve the record, and seek accountability.

For the record,

Ricky G. Robinson

Ricky G. Robinson

August 11, 2026

Paternal Grandfather of Malaika and Xayah

Blood Advocate for Malaika and Xayah

636-234-1024

drum_king12@yahoo.com

freekeen.com



First, San Diego County's child-welfare fraud and foster-prison machine kidnapped Malaika and Xayah. Then it came after her twins. How many Robinson children does this machine believe it is entitled to target before it finally leaves this family alone?
"Anyone who kidnaps someone is to be put to death, whether the victim has been sold or is still in the kidnapper's possession." — Exodus 21:16

