

**DISTRICT ATTORNEY SUMMER STEPHAN
FORMAL DEMAND FOR CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION, EVIDENCE
PRESERVATION, AND GRAND JURY PRESENTATION
MALAIKA AND XAYAH ROBINSON**

District Attorney Summer Stephan,

I am again placing your office on formal notice and demanding a criminal investigation into the conduct of public officials, child-welfare personnel, attorneys, caregivers, and other participants who have taken part in the malicious prosecution, persecution, retaliation, false reporting, family separation, and destruction inflicted on our family. This investigation must also examine whether false or misleading case information was used to obtain, justify, preserve, or increase federal or state child-welfare funding, including funding associated with foster care, adoption, placement, administration, and continued dependency proceedings.

The record is extensive, the harm is documented, the people involved are identifiable, and their actions can be traced through documentary evidence, medical records, court filings, agency reports, emails, text messages, photographs, sworn statements, witness testimony, expert testimony, RFA records, visitation records, hospital records, and a detailed chronology. We also possess preserved evidence of key conversations in the participants' own voices that can be produced when necessary.

I am asking your office to determine which criminal laws were violated, who committed those violations, who assisted or coordinated them, who knowingly created, repeated, concealed, or relied upon false information, who disregarded contrary evidence, and whether the evidence warrants presentation to a criminal grand jury. Our family has spent years documenting conduct that cannot simply be dismissed as disagreement with the outcome of a dependency case.

The conduct requiring investigation includes false and misleading reporting, manufactured narratives, retaliation for protected speech, interference with family relationships, coercive use of the dependency process, retaliatory restraining-order proceedings, suppression or disregard of evidence, and the use of extreme accusations to portray family members as dangerous while moving Malaika and Xayah toward permanent separation from their biological family, identity, and heritage.

The origins of this case require scrutiny. After Evelyn Lopez went to Missouri, social worker Ashlee Purnell repeatedly contacted me with escalating claims about law-enforcement involvement and efforts to track members of our family. She told me that United States Marshals, Border Patrol, and other law-enforcement agencies were looking for Evelyn. On other calls, she told me that the family van had been spotted in other states and described its movements as being tracked through traffic or red-light camera systems. That van was being driven by my son, which meant the tracking she described was not limited to Evelyn. She later called again and said the District Attorney was now on board. In another call, she described the underlying matter as only a misdemeanor while still insisting that United States Marshals were looking for her. The overall message being delivered to me was that Evelyn, my son, and our family were being actively tracked and pursued across state lines. Evelyn did not simply decide to return to San Diego. She was frightened and pressured into returning.

Norma Olivares, who had been essentially a stranger in Evelyn's life for approximately twelve years, reentered her life after intercepting mail and learning that a child-welfare case was open. She located Evelyn through Facebook and traveled to Missouri twice. On the second trip, Norma reinforced the fear surrounding the warrant and the claim that federal authorities were hunting for Evelyn and pressured her to return to San Diego.

Evelyn ultimately came back to San Diego and, approximately two weeks later, turned herself in immediately before her daughter's first birthday. She spent five days in jail and missed that birthday, yet the criminal matter was subsequently dismissed. Norma Olivares then became the non-relative caregiver exercising enormous control over Evelyn's children while Malaika and Xayah were progressively separated from their mother and paternal family.

Your office should investigate this sequence from the beginning: when the warrant became active, who requested or pursued it, what communications occurred between Ashlee Purnell, Norma Olivares, law enforcement, the District Attorney's Office, and any other participants, whether representations concerning United States Marshals, Border Patrol, and other law-enforcement agencies were accurate or exaggerated, whether those representations were used to frighten and coerce Evelyn into returning to San Diego, and how a misdemeanor matter that ended without a conviction became intertwined with a dependency process used to drive Malaika and Xayah toward permanent severance from their biological family, identity, and heritage.

Our family repeatedly discovers extreme accusations placed into confidential dependency reports without corresponding police investigations, arrests, forensic findings, or meaningful opportunities for the people accused to respond. Accusations involving rape, sexual abuse, and following or stalking behavior are inserted into records concerning me without anyone interviewing me, questioning me, confronting me with the accusations, or asking for my response. I am never given an opportunity to address these claims before they are placed into the record and used against me. Yet those accusations become part of a confidential record used to portray me as dangerous and to sever my relationship with my grandchildren.

Investigators should determine who originated those accusations, who communicated them, who placed them into official records, what evidence existed to support them, who repeated them, who relied upon them, whether contrary information was available, and whether anyone knowingly presented, preserved, concealed, or relied upon materially false information.

The documentary record identifies numerous participants whose conduct requires examination, including Child and Family Well-Being personnel and supervisors, Children's Legal Services personnel, County Counsel, guardian ad litem representatives, caregivers, administrators, managers, and others involved in decision-making, reporting, supervision, placement, litigation, and oversight throughout this case.

Those individuals include David Miller, Nicole Montzingo-Avila, Ashlee Purnell, Gisel Aquino, Annel Navarro, Angelica Valdez, Amanda Clubb-May, Crystal Felusme, Melissa Sanchez, William Durning, Alfredo Guardado, Elizabeth Hernandez, former director Kimberly Giardina, Lisa Haight, Nicole McConn, Thomas Kisiel, Nicholas Moore, County Counsel Jesica Nicole Fellman, caregivers Norma Olivares and Ronald Rivas Silverio, and many others whose names and actions appear throughout the record. The investigation should include any supervisors, managers, attorneys, administrators, or other participants who approved, repeated, relied upon,

concealed, facilitated, or acted upon materially false information. Each person's conduct should be examined individually against the evidence rather than shielded by institutional titles, professional relationships, or the actions of the larger system.

The judicial record also requires scrutiny. Judges Gary M. Bubis, Alexander M. Calero, Michael P. Pulos, Alejandro Morales, and Devon L. Lomayesva presided over critical stages involving the initial orders, family separation, restrictions, restraining orders, termination proceedings, international travel, and other consequential actions. Judge Bubis signed an early order that helped set this case in motion, and that beginning should be examined alongside everything that followed. Judges Pulos and Calero later authorized Malaika and Xayah to travel to the Dominican Republic while they were extremely young, including the first trip when they were barely one and two years old.

Investigators should examine the basis for those decisions, what information was presented to the court, what objections or safety concerns were raised, and how international travel with non-relative caregivers was reconciled with a dependency case supposedly centered on the children's safety, stability, family preservation, and best interests. The issue is not simply disagreement with judicial rulings. Investigators should determine whether knowingly false information, fabricated evidence, retaliation, improper coordination, obstruction, or other unlawful conduct by any participant entered into or influenced those proceedings, and whether any conduct outside protected judicial decision-making requires criminal investigation.

The retaliation chronology is especially important. My family begins publicly exposing what is happening through our blog, public testimony, podcasts, peaceful protests, flyers, communications with government officials, and appearances before the San Diego County Board of Supervisors. After that exposure, visitation changes, family members are removed from contact, new accusations appear, the portrayal of me as dangerous intensifies, and restraining-order proceedings follow against people who continue speaking publicly.

The agency record itself repeatedly turns to our speech. Blog posts, photographs, public advocacy, protests, and other protected expression are referenced, discussed, preserved, and even attached to reports. Investigators should determine why so much attention was directed toward the family's speech and public exposure and whether government authority and court processes were used to punish criticism, silence exposure, interfere with protected speech, or sever family relationships in retaliation.

Evelyn Lopez publicly describes being pressured, lectured, blocked from leaving a room after an emotional visit, and told that the return of her daughters depends upon separating herself from her family support system. Shortly after she speaks publicly about what is happening, reunification efforts move toward termination of her parental rights. That chronology requires investigation as potential retaliation rather than being dismissed as routine case management.

The restraining-order proceedings require the same scrutiny. Individuals publicly criticized by this family participate in proceedings that ultimately restrict my contact and further isolate Malaika and Xayah from their grandfather. Investigators should preserve and examine the communications preceding those proceedings, the statements and declarations submitted to the court, the supporting evidence, and any communications or coordination among the participants.

A statement does not become true merely because it appears in a declaration, agency report, or court filing. Court proceedings do not erase potential criminal liability for knowingly false evidence, perjury, obstruction, conspiracy, falsification of records, or other prosecutable conduct.

Before this case became years of separation, litigation, retaliation, and termination proceedings, there had already been approximately fourteen reports or welfare checks involving Evelyn and the children in San Diego, including checks at hotels where I had placed them so they would have shelter. Those contacts did not establish child abuse or neglect. The children were observed clean, without visible injuries, and behaving normally and happily. That history makes what followed even more disturbing. A family that repeatedly showed no documented pattern of abuse or neglect was transformed into thousands of pages of accusations, restrictions, hearings, and permanent separation. That is a grave miscarriage of justice and a profound disregard for human life, human dignity, and the family bonds of children, parents, grandparents, and elders.

The children themselves have suffered enormously. Malaika and Xayah are not harmed or abused in their family home. Serious medical problems, sexual-abuse concerns, emotional collapse, screaming, clinging, and catastrophic psychological harm arise after they are removed from their family.

One child is hospitalized with pneumonia requiring oxygen, an IV, and catheterization. The other is treated for a urinary tract infection, perineal irritation and rash, and related concerns. Serious questions remain about the response to sexual-abuse concerns, whether appropriate forensic investigation occurred, whether evidence was properly preserved, and why concerns involving the children's placement were handled differently from accusations directed against their biological family.

An expert witness testifies about the strength of these children's bonds with their family and the catastrophic harm that can result from severing those relationships. We possess extensive visual and documentary evidence showing the children screaming, clinging, crying, experiencing meltdowns, and resisting separation from the people they love. That evidence should be compared against the official reports and representations used to justify their continued separation.

The conduct at issue has not remained confined to courtrooms, reports, or legal filings. It has inflicted severe emotional and physical strain across an entire multigenerational family. Young parents have lived under relentless pressure and fear. Children experience prolonged separation, emotional collapse, screaming, clinging, and distress. Grandparents and extended family members endure years of anxiety, grief, exhaustion, and the physical consequences of sustained stress.

The harm extends to elderly dependent family members as well. My mother, an elderly dependent adult with dementia, loved these children deeply and lit up in their presence. She lived through the prolonged separation, the destruction of family bonds, and the continuing fight to bring them home, and she died without seeing them returned. This is not abstract harm. It is documented human damage affecting children, parents, grandparents, extended family, and the elderly.

The damage extends beyond the immediate family. Friends, neighbors, extended relatives, advocates, and others who care about these children have been cut off from them or pulled into the fallout surrounding this case. Investigative reporters and outside observers attempting to understand and document what is happening have encountered barriers involving confidentiality and access to court proceedings. The result is a widening circle of harm, isolation, and intimidation affecting people who try to support this family, preserve evidence, report what they witness, or scrutinize the conduct of the institutions involved.

What has happened to this family represents a grave miscarriage of justice and a profound disregard for human life, human dignity, family bonds, and the psychological well-being of children and elders. When a case produces years of separation, escalating retaliation, catastrophic emotional harm, the death of an elderly great-grandmother before she sees the children returned, and the continuing severance of children from an available biological family, the consequences are not merely procedural. They are human.

This case no longer resembles a child-protection proceeding. There was no documented pattern of abuse or neglect in the family home that explains how this became thousands of pages of reports, years of hearings and trials, repeated restrictions, retaliatory proceedings, and escalating attacks on the family fighting to preserve these children's relationships. Again and again, the record turns to the family's blog, podcasts, public testimony, peaceful protests, photographs, and protected speech. The question requiring investigation is how a proceeding supposedly created to protect children became a prolonged machinery of separation, retaliation, litigation, and permanent severance.

The role of Norma Olivares requires especially close examination. She reentered Evelyn Lopez's life after years of absence, became involved after learning that the child-welfare case was open, traveled to Missouri, participated in pressuring Evelyn to return to San Diego, and subsequently became the non-relative caregiver while Malaika and Xayah were progressively separated from their mother and paternal family. Investigators should determine the full extent of her communications, coordination, representations, financial interests, relationship with agency personnel, statements to the courts, and role in maintaining the children's continued separation.

I am demanding preservation and examination of the complete documentary record, including Child and Family Well-Being case files, CFT documentation, visitation communications, agency reports and addenda, emails and text messages among participants, RFA records, hospital and medical records, forensic records, restraining-order filings and supporting declarations, communications involving caregivers, County Counsel and Children's Legal Services, records surrounding visitation changes, section 388 proceedings, termination proceedings, funding and eligibility records, and communications relevant to the creation, transmission, or use of accusations against members of this family.

Investigators should construct a complete chronological timeline comparing what officials and participants said privately with what later appeared in reports, comparing accusations with medical evidence, documentary evidence, and witness testimony, identifying when allegations first appeared, who originated them, who repeated them, who acted upon them, and whether evidence contradicting those allegations was withheld, ignored, minimized, or misrepresented. The investigation should also determine exactly what changed after this family exercised its First Amendment rights and publicly criticized the agencies and individuals controlling this case.

The financial trail requires independent examination. Investigators should determine what federal and state funding was claimed or received in connection with the removal, placement, foster care, adoption planning, administrative activity, and continued separation of Malaika and Xayah; what representations supported those claims; whether applicable eligibility requirements were satisfied; and whether false, misleading, or incomplete information affected reimbursement or funding decisions. The investigation should determine whether this case was unnecessarily prolonged or manipulated for purposes unrelated to legitimate child protection and whether any participant received financial benefit from continued placement, litigation, services, or adoption planning.

If evidence establishes that false information was knowingly used to obtain or preserve public funding, that conduct should be investigated for fraud, waste, abuse, and any other applicable criminal offense. If the evidence establishes trafficking, conspiracy, unlawful financial gain, falsification of records, perjury, obstruction, retaliation prohibited by law, concealment of criminal conduct, abuse of authority amounting to a criminal offense, or any other prosecutable violation, then call the conduct what the evidence establishes and prosecute it.

Do not return these allegations solely to the same agencies and individuals whose conduct requires investigation. Our family is not asking for special treatment. We are demanding the same thing any citizen should be entitled to when substantial evidence of potential criminal wrongdoing is placed before a prosecutor: an actual investigation conducted independently of the people whose actions are being challenged.

The confidentiality surrounding dependency proceedings must not become a shield behind which potentially criminal conduct is never examined. Confidentiality exists to protect children. It should never operate to protect an adult who knowingly falsifies evidence, retaliates against a family, obstructs scrutiny, conceals evidence, misuses public funds, or commits another crime.

Malaika and Xayah remain separated from their mother, father, grandfather, siblings, extended family, and the people they love. Their mother completed her case plan and continues fighting for them. Their paternal family home was RFA approved. Their family continues documenting the harm and preserving the evidence. Their great-grandmother died without ever seeing them returned. Every additional month takes more of our girls' childhood and more irreplaceable time from this family.

District Attorney Stephan, investigate the record completely. Preserve the evidence. Interview family members, advocates, witnesses, investigative reporters, outside professionals, and every person with firsthand knowledge of what happened. Ensure that the children are heard through appropriate independent, trauma-informed forensic professionals. Examine their documented distress, their screaming, clinging, meltdowns, resistance to separation, and their interactions with the people responsible for their care. Compare what the children show and say with what was written about them in official records.

Examine the caregiver, the social workers, attorneys, supervisors, administrators, managers, County Counsel personnel, Children's Legal Services personnel, and every other participant whose conduct helped drive this family toward permanent separation. Determine which laws were violated, who violated them, who coordinated with whom, who knowingly supplied,

repeated, concealed, or relied upon false information, and who financially benefited from decisions that prolonged the separation.

We have preserved evidence that goes beyond paperwork and recollection. When necessary, your investigators can hear key participants in their own voices. Follow that evidence wherever it leads.

If the evidence supports criminal charges, prosecute. If the evidence warrants presentation to a grand jury, place it before a grand jury.


Your own words are: Silence just allows perpetrators to continue. Apply those words here.

This is a grave miscarriage of justice. It reflects a profound disregard for human life, human dignity, children, parents, grandparents, family integrity, and the lasting psychological and physical consequences inflicted when government power is allowed to operate without meaningful accountability.

This correspondence will be preserved as part of the public record our family is building and may be published online so it cannot quietly disappear into another file. Prior notices, letters, supporting materials, and public documentation concerning this case have already been made available for public review, and people are reading them. This letter will be preserved the same way so there is a clear record of what your office was told, when it was told, and what action was or was not taken in response.

Do the job entrusted to your office and let the evidence speak.

For the record,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Ricky G. Robinson". The signature is written over a horizontal line.

Ricky G. Robinson

September 28, 2026

Paternal Grandfather of Malaika and Xayah

Blood Advocate for Malaika and Xayah

636-234-1024

drum_king12@yahoo.com

freekeen.com




First, San Diego County's child-welfare fraud and foster-prison machine kidnapped Malaika and Xayah. Then it came after her twins. How many Robinson children does this machine believe it is entitled to target before it finally leaves this family alone?

"Anyone who kidnaps someone is to be put to death, whether the victim has been sold or is still in the kidnapper's possession." — Exodus 21:16



WATCH NEVER IN AMERICA ON X
START AT 1:41:00




"I Love Mom": San Diego Girls Forced to Say Goodbye During Final Visit After Judge Terminates Mother's Parental Rights

California's Court Crisis —It's Extreme



JULIE M. ANDERSON HOLBURN

JUN 04, 2026



SAN DIEGO, Calif. — On June 4, San Diego mother Evelyn Lopez and her two young daughters were brought together for what family

members describe as their final visit after a San Diego Juvenile Court judge terminated Lopez's parental rights on May 26.

The final visit took place at the Sheriff's Station, located at 11486 Campo Road in Spring Valley, California, where photographs obtained by *Unveiled and Uncensored* show Malaika, who turns 5 in November, and her 3-year-old sister Xayah holding handmade pink signs bearing messages including "I ♥ Mom" and "I Love Mom."

Family members say the children were emotional as they said goodbye to the only mother they have ever known and loved.

Julie M. Anderson-Holburn is a reader-supported publication. To receive new posts and support my work, consider becoming a free or paid subscriber.

✓ Subscribed

The visit came just days after newly appointed San Diego Juvenile Court Judge Devon L. Lomayesva terminated Lopez's parental rights despite years of visitation records, emotional video footage, and expert testimony that supporters say documented a strong and loving bond between the children and their mother.

1. ☐ child
2. ☐ mother
3. ☐ father
4. ☐ legal guardian
5. ☐ the facts stated

6. ☐ county welfare department.
7. ☐ district attorney
8. ☐ child's sibling
9. ☐ other (state relationship to child or interest in the case).

8. The notice of appeal pertains to the following child or children (specify number of children involved):

a. Name of child: Michelle Williams
Child's date of birth: 12/29/2003
b. Name of case: Michelle Williams
Child's date of birth: 12/29/2003

c. Name of child
Child's date of birth
☐ Continued in Attachment E.

9. The order appealed from was made under Welfare and Institutions Code (check all that apply):

a. ☐ Section 305.5 (transfer to total court)
☐ Granting transfer to total court
☐ Denying transfer to total court
Dates of hearing (specify):

b. ☐ Section 305 (declaration of dependency)
☐ Removal of custody from parent or guardian
☐ Other orders
with review of section 305 jurisdictional findings
Dates of hearing (specify):

c. ☐ Section 366.26 (selection and implementation of permanent plan)
☐ Termination of parental rights
☐ Assumption of guardianship
☐ Planned permanent living arrangement
Dates of hearing (specify):
5/16/2006, 5/17/2006, 5/18/2006, 5/16/2006, 4/26/2006, 4/15/2006

d. ☐ Section 361.23 (after designating a specific jurisdiction, after termination of parental rights, in which a petition for extraordinary and review that substantially addressed the specific issues to be challenged was timely filed and accurately stated or otherwise not decided on the merits)
Dates of hearing (specify):

e. ☐ Section 369 (request to change court order)
Dates of hearing (specify):

f. ☐ Other applicable orders relating to dependency (specify):
Dates of hearing (specify):

g. ☐ Section 726 (declaration of wardship and other orders)
☐ with review of section 501 jurisdictional findings
☐ with review of section 602 jurisdictional findings
Dates of hearing (specify):

On May 29, Lopez and her attorney filed an appeal challenging the ruling and seeking further review of the court's decision.

Read more: [Despite County Records Acknowledging Strong Maternal Bond and Expert Warnings of Harm, San Diego Judge Terminates Mother's Parental Rights in Sealed Dependency Proceedings](#)

"The Bond Doesn't Exist": San Diego Juvenile Court Blocks Press Access in Contested Termination-of-Parental-Rights Case Involving Two Toddler Sisters

According to records reviewed by *Unveiled and Uncensored*, San Diego County visitation reports repeatedly described Lopez as loving, nurturing, emotionally attentive, and appropriately bonded with her daughters. Yet the same dependency system ultimately sought and obtained an order permanently severing her parental rights.

Photographs from the final visit have quickly become symbolic of the central dispute surrounding the case: whether a court should permanently sever a parent-child relationship when county records, visitation observations, emotional video footage, and expert testimony documented an ongoing maternal bond.

For supporters of the family, the image of two young girls holding signs reading "I Love Mom" outside a Sheriff's station only days after their mother's parental rights were terminated raises broader questions about family preservation, judicial decision-making, and the extraordinary power dependency courts exercise over the lives of children and families.

How to Help

In addition to pursuing an appeal, family members say they are actively seeking legal representation to explore potential civil claims against San Diego County and Child Welfare Services arising from the handling of the case.

They are asking attorneys, civil rights organizations, legal advocacy groups, and others willing to assist with the matter to contact the family regarding potential representation, appellate support, or further legal review.

For now, Evelyn Lopez's fight to remain connected to her daughters continues in California's appellate courts. While appellate proceedings can take years to resolve, those close to the case hope additional media attention and legal scrutiny will bring greater examination of what they believe are profound questions involving family preservation, due process, governmental accountability, and the dependency system's extraordinary power to permanently separate children from their biological families.

As Lopez's appeal moves forward, those questions are now likely headed to California's appellate courts.

This ongoing series on the California family and probate court and CPS corruption crisis—and the broader nationwide family court crisis—aims to bring national attention to systemic failures and to advocate for meaningful reform and accountability.

This article is investigative reporting intended for public-information and public-interest purposes only. It does not constitute legal advice, and nothing herein should be relied upon as a substitute for consultation with a licensed attorney or qualified legal professional. References to laws, court proceedings, or legal processes are presented for journalistic and informational purposes.

The time for action is now. Lawmakers, the media, and the public must act together to demand justice, accountability, and protection for families and children.

Are you committed to protecting America's children and restoring integrity to our legal system?

Contact your legislative representatives. Speak out. Reach out to media outlets. And vote.

Find your state and federal legislative representatives [HERE](#).

Find out what your legislators are doing on a weekly basis [HERE](#).

Whistleblowers and victims of family court, CPS, probate court, IDEA/ADA or foster care corruption anywhere in the U.S.—please contact this reporter at julied005@proton.me or rjh.investigative.reports@gmail.com.

Together, we can ignite a national movement and create lasting change.

PUBLIC DEMAND FOR HELP TO DA SUMMER STEPHAN

DA Summer Stephan's own words: *"Silence just allows perpetrators to continue."*



Malaika and Xayah Robinson are unjustly imprisoned in a real-life Cinderella horror story inside San Diego County's foster prison. Both girls are suffering and missing their mother, but *Malaika* especially has reported being treated badly by caregiver Norma Olivares. San Diego County protects Olivares while keeping both girls from their mother, newborn siblings they have never met, and family who love them. This child-welfare fraud has gone on for over two years.

Summer Stephan, investigate the grudge-driven, coordinated wrongful kidnapping of Malaika and Xayah Robinson: cruelty, lies, psychological warfare against their mother Evelyn Lopez, catastrophic harm to the children and family, reckless disregard for human life, and character assassination of their RFA-approved grandfather, a senior caregiver, conservator, and trustee. Investigate the federal foster-care funds being used to keep children trapped in the foster prison. This is documented. The proof is undeniable.

For speaking out and exposing corruption, the *Robinson-Lopez* family was relentlessly attacked with retaliation, false allegations, poisoned court records, retaliatory restraining orders, psychological cruelty, elder harm, covered-up abuse and sexual-abuse concerns, abuse of power under color of law, First and Fourteenth Amendment violations, family destruction, and a gross miscarriage of justice.

Help bring *Malaika and Xayah* home from San Diego County's cruelest acts of child-welfare fraud.



TRAFFICKING IS NOT ALWAYS WHAT YOU THINK.



EXPLOITATION CAN HIDE BEHIND INSTITUTIONS.



TRAFFICKING CAN INVOLVE COERCION THROUGH
COURTS, CPS, ADOPTION, GUARDIANSHIP SYSTEMS,
FORCED CONTROL, EXPLOITATION, OR ABUSE OF POWER.



If you feel trapped, isolated, threatened,
controlled, silenced, or unable to protect your children...
YOU ARE NOT ALONE.



DOCUMENT.

Write it down.
Save what you can.



REPORT.

You have the right
to be heard.



CONNECT.

Help is available.
Freedom is possible.

YOU HAVE OPTIONS. YOU HAVE RIGHTS. YOU HAVE WORTH.

WE BELIEVE YOU. WE STAND WITH YOU.



SCAN TO LEARN MORE,
GET HELP, OR REPORT.



JUSTICEWITHOUTLIMITS.ORG

YOU MATTER. YOUR LIFE HAS VALUE. FREEDOM IS POSSIBLE.

TRAVEL ADVISORY

SAN DIEGO: CHILD TRAFFICKING NETWORK (CTN)

DO **NOT** VOTE FOR THIS BOARD

**ENOUGH
IS
ENOUGH**

★ **SAN DIEGO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS** ★

**SAN DIEGO COUNTY IS STEALING, PROFITING, AND HARMING
CHILDREN AND FAMILIES IN PLAIN SIGHT.**

				
JOEL ANDERSON DISTRICT 2	PALOMA AGUIRRE DISTRICT 1 CHAIR PRO TEM	TERRA LAWSON-REMER DISTRICT 3 CHAIR	MONICA MONTGOMERY STEPPE DISTRICT 4 VICE CHAIR	JIM DESMOND DISTRICT 5

TRASH CAN FEE HIKES



Hundreds more
per year for
San Diego
families.

HIGHER TAXES & FEES



They keep
raising taxes
while families
struggle.

BROKEN ROADS



Potholes. Cracks.
Dangerous streets.
They take your
money but can't
fix the roads.

CLOSED BEACH BATHROOMS



Bathrooms locked.
Families forced
to suffer.
Disrespectful and
unacceptable.

FREE MALAIKA & XAYAH



FAMILIES BEG FOR HELP — THEY WALK OUT



Ignored. Dismissed.
Our children suffer
while the system
looks the other way.

FOSTER CARE & ADOPTIONS — WHERE IS REUNIFICATION?



Real families
ripped apart.
They choose
system over
family.



SAN DIEGO DESERVES BETTER. OUR FAMILIES DESERVE BETTER.



**SPEAK OUT
FOR FAMILIES**



**DEMAND
ACCOUNTABILITY**



**PROTECT
OUR CHILDREN**



**VOTE THEM OUT
IN 2026!**

OUR VOICES. OUR RIGHTS. OUR CHILDREN. OUR COUNTY. ENOUGH IS ENOUGH.