

County Counsel Brown,

You now lead an office whose attorneys participated directly in proceedings that resulted in the termination of Evelyn Lopez's parental rights and the continuing separation of Malaika and Xayah Robinson from their mother and family. I am placing you on direct institutional notice because this case has gone far beyond ordinary child-welfare litigation and now presents serious questions involving retaliation, due process, familial association, government use of false or shifting information, interference with kinship placement, selective reporting, abuse of legal process, and the conduct of attorneys acting through the San Diego County Counsel's Office.

You did not create this case, but you inherited the office that participated in it. After receiving this letter and the accompanying materials, you cannot claim ignorance of what occurred, what your attorneys were involved in, what constitutional issues have been raised, or what evidence must now be preserved and reviewed.

Malaika and Xayah have a family. Their mother completed her court-ordered case plan. Their paternal grandfather was RFA approved and available as family support and placement. The children demonstrated substantial bonds with their family, and expert testimony addressed serious psychological and emotional harm from continued separation. Yet instead of moving toward reunification and family preservation, this case moved in the opposite direction.

As our family spoke publicly, challenged reports, reported mistreatment, appeared before the Board of Supervisors, published information online, participated in podcasts, distributed flyers, used QR codes, contacted journalists, and exposed what was occurring behind closed doors, the government response intensified. Visits were restricted, family members were increasingly isolated, restraining orders were pursued, and I was transformed from an RFA-approved paternal grandfather who had lived peacefully for years, cared for my elderly mother, and had been entrusted by a Superior Court judge with broad conservatorship and trustee responsibilities into a supposedly dangerous man only after I refused to remove public criticism and continued exposing the conduct of the agency, guardian ad litem side, County Counsel, and caregiver Norma Olivares, and what was occurring in the juvenile courts.

Our family contends that the restraining-order campaign was coordinated among those participants, that proceedings were pursued in Vista while the same dangerous-grandfather narrative was repeated and expanded, and that protected speech became a recurring subject of agency and litigation attention while evidence of bond, family support, and the children's distress was minimized.

That chronology demands scrutiny.

The First Amendment does not permit government officials to retaliate against citizens because they criticize government conduct. The family engaged in protected activity through public testimony, blogs, websites, podcasts, flyers, photographs, QR codes, communications with elected officials, and contact with journalists. If those activities became factors in visitation restrictions, credibility attacks, restraining-order efforts, placement decisions, litigation positions, or termination, then County Counsel was participating in a constitutional problem, not merely a dependency dispute.

The recurring subject was not simply whether the children were safe. Again and again, the family's speech and public exposure became an issue. The blog, photographs, podcasts, flyers, QR codes, Board of Supervisors appearances, public comments, and criticism of County employees repeatedly surfaced while family contact was progressively restricted. Your office must determine whether constitutionally protected criticism of government became intertwined with adverse child-welfare action.

The Fourteenth Amendment is equally central. The parent-child relationship is one of the most significant liberty interests protected by the Constitution, and termination of parental rights demands fundamentally fair procedures. This case raises serious questions about whether materially false statements entered the record, whether allegations continued after they weakened or were contradicted, whether recordings or other objective evidence conflicted with official reports, whether addendum reports or other information were blocked or minimized, whether caregiver allegations were accepted while contradictory family evidence was discounted, whether expert evidence of bond and catastrophic harm was given proper weight, and whether protected speech was improperly converted into evidence of danger or unfitness.

The visitation record is especially important. Social workers documented these visits, and those records must be preserved and reviewed in full, including positive observations showing affection, happiness, attachment, comfort, and bond with mother, grandfather, and family, along with the children's distress when visits ended. Malaika experienced an approximately 25-minute emotional breakdown in front of her neurotherapist because she did not want to return to placement. There is also evidence concerning social workers closing laptops or ending documentation before some of the most serious end-of-visit trauma occurred. If the official record captured the calm portion of visits but failed to capture the worst separation distress, that goes directly to the reliability of what the court was asked to believe.

August 12, 2025 also requires specific review. I was present in juvenile court that day and challenged what I believed were unconstitutional restrictions and continuing misconduct in the case. I was removed from the courtroom, and Keenan Robinson was also removed. According to Evelyn Lopez, immediately afterward the hearing turned into a dispute over

vaccinating Malaika and Xayah over the parents' objections, with County Counsel Jesica Nicole Fellman advocating for vaccination while Evelyn's attorney objected. That incident did not occur in isolation. It occurred in a case already saturated with conflict over the family's refusal to remain silent. Your office should review the transcript, minute orders, filings, internal communications, and communications between County Counsel and Child and Family Well-Being personnel concerning that hearing and determine the factual and legal basis for the position your attorney advanced.

County Counsel's role did not end with Fellman. Another County Counsel attorney, Marissa Louise Walter, later participated as the case moved toward termination. By that stage, the litigation involved County Counsel, agency personnel, the guardian ad litem side, caregiver allegations, restraining-order proceedings, family-placement disputes, protected-speech issues, and an increasingly aggressive effort to sever the children permanently from their family. I am asking you to examine communications and coordination among County Counsel attorneys, Nicole McConn and the GAL side, Nicole Montzingo and other Child and Family Well-Being personnel, Norma Olivares and caregiver-related participants, and anyone involved in preparing reports, recommendations, restraining-order materials, visitation restrictions, or termination arguments.

Do not simply read the final court orders and declare the matter closed. Examine how those orders were produced.

Our family repeatedly reported concerns about what was happening to the children, including serious sexual-abuse concerns. A legitimate child-protection system should welcome information that may protect a child. Instead, the people reporting concerns increasingly became the target. Their credibility was attacked, their access to the children was restricted, their speech became an issue, and restraining orders were pursued. Your office must determine whether government actors were protecting children or protecting an agency narrative and a placement from scrutiny.

There are also serious concerns about the questioning of Malaika while she was extremely young and whether statements were elicited, interpreted, repeated, or used against her family in ways that were unreliable or coercive. A three-year-old should not become a litigation instrument. Your office should review the interviewing circumstances, wording of questions, repetition, context, who was present, how statements were documented, and how those statements were later used in reports and court proceedings.

Kinship and family placement must also be examined. This family had an RFA-approved paternal grandfather and an available family support system, yet a nonrelative placement was increasingly favored while the children's bond with their mother, grandfather, siblings, great-grandmother history, and extended family was minimized. Your office should

determine whether opposition to family placement rested on actual safety evidence or whether protected speech, caregiver-driven allegations, retaliatory narratives, and the manufactured dangerous-grandfather story infected the placement process.

There is another issue that legal terminology does not fully capture: human dignity. A mother and children were subjected to increasingly intrusive supervision during deeply personal family interactions. Two social workers hovered over visits after Evelyn spoke publicly. Family privacy was compromised. Adults in their sixties, seventies, and eighties were pulled into years of government conflict because they refused to abandon two children they love. An elderly great-grandmother spent the final period of her life unable to see Malaika and Xayah returned to their family. Due process is not merely paperwork. Family integrity and human dignity matter.

These facts also raise federal civil-rights concerns under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, including First Amendment retaliation, Fourteenth Amendment due-process violations, interference with familial association, government use of materially false or misleading information, retaliatory restrictions following protected speech, and conduct under color of law that contributed to the destruction of established family relationships.

This letter also serves as a formal preservation demand. Preserve all potentially relevant records and electronically stored information concerning Evelyn Lopez, Keenan Robinson, Ricky G. Robinson, Malaika Robinson, Xayah Robinson, Norma Olivares, Nicole Montzingo, Nicole McConn, Lisa Haight, David Miller, Jesica Nicole Fellman, Marissa Louise Walter, and any other County, GAL, caregiver, agency, court-related, supervisory, investigative, legal, or other personnel involved in this matter, whether presently identified or not. This includes emails, texts, Teams messages, chats, internal communications, litigation notes, handwritten notes, drafts, memoranda, reports, addendums, visitation records, CFT materials, photographs, screenshots, social-media captures, restraining-order materials, RFA and relative-placement records, vaccination-related communications, sexual-abuse reports, termination and adoption discussions, and communications concerning the family's blogs, websites, podcasts, flyers, QR codes, Board of Supervisors appearances, public comments, journalists, photographs of County personnel, or other protected advocacy.

Preservation should include deleted materials recoverable from County systems, archives, backups, mobile devices, litigation-management systems, and any other source within the County's possession, custody, or control.

I have also previously sought juvenile records involving me and encountered restrictions and denials. A further request will be made seeking records that specifically concern me, Ricky Robinson, paternal grandfather, rather than seeking wholesale disclosure of the

children's confidential medical information. That request will include reports, addendums, visitation notes, social-worker notes, emails, texts, internal communications, transcripts, exhibits, CFT materials, allegations, photographs, flyers, screenshots, RFA materials, placement discussions, restraining-order references, and any other record in which I am identified, discussed, characterized, accused, evaluated, restricted, or investigated.

If County actors wrote about me and those writings were used to influence decisions affecting my grandchildren and family, those records matter.

Do not assume that the County's written narrative is the only surviving version of what happened. Our family has spent years preserving evidence capable of testing reports, testimony, timelines, statements, and official representations against actual events. I am intentionally not cataloging all of that evidence in this letter. Your responsibility right now is to preserve the County's side of the record and to understand that the factual claims made by County employees and litigation participants can be tested.

I am asking you, as County Counsel, to order an internal and appropriately independent review of the participation of your attorneys in this matter. That review should include the August 12, 2025 proceedings, the vaccination dispute, the retaliation chronology, County Counsel communications with social workers and the GAL side, caregiver communications, visitation evidence, treatment of abuse reports, protected-speech issues, restraining-order strategy, RFA and kinship-placement history, material contradictions between reports and objective evidence, and what County Counsel knew about the children's psychological and emotional distress before termination.

You should also determine whether County Counsel attorneys presented, adopted, or relied upon statements they knew or should have known were materially inaccurate, whether litigation positions were shaped by protected speech rather than genuine child-safety concerns, and whether County Counsel participated in maintaining a record that omitted or minimized evidence inconsistent with the termination narrative.

I request written confirmation that this preservation notice has been received and routed to the persons responsible for litigation holds, electronically stored information, and internal review.

County Counsel Brown, this case is not disappearing because a termination order was signed. Malaika and Xayah have a mother, father, grandfather, relatives, siblings, history, identity, and a family that continues fighting for them. Your attorneys participated in a process that helped produce permanent family severance despite completed services, available family support, substantial evidence of family bond, and expert evidence concerning serious psychological and emotional harm.

You inherited this office. You now inherit knowledge of what its attorneys participated in. From this point forward, what the San Diego County Counsel's Office preserves, investigates, corrects, ignores, or defends will occur after direct notice.

Our family will continue pursuing every lawful avenue available to challenge unconstitutional conduct, expose what happened, protect Malaika and Xayah, and seek accountability.

I have spent years watching this family absorb the consequences of government officials who were supposed to protect children and respect the law, and I will not tolerate this kind of abuse of government power when it comes to my grandchildren. I will continue documenting it, exposing it, challenging it, and placing the evidence before every state and federal authority with jurisdiction to act, including federal civil-rights investigators, federal law-enforcement authorities, congressional offices, inspectors general, and ultimately the federal courts where appropriate. No County title, dependency order, closed courtroom, agency report, or institutional wall makes anyone immune from federal scrutiny or accountability.

If constitutional rights were violated under color of law, evidence was knowingly falsified or concealed, retaliation was used to punish protected speech, or government power was coordinated to unlawfully destroy this family, those responsible should expect those actions to be examined far beyond San Diego County. Malaika and Xayah are my granddaughters, and I will pursue every lawful avenue available until the full record is confronted and everyone responsible for what happened to this family is held accountable under the law.

Fraud. Waste. Abuse. Retaliation. Constitutional violations. Family destruction.

You and your office are now on notice.

For the record,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Ricky G. Robinson". The signature is written in a cursive style and is positioned above a horizontal line.

Ricky G. Robinson

August 10, 2026

Paternal Grandfather, Blood Relative, and Family Protector of Malaika and Xayah Robinson

636-234-1024

drum_king12@yahoo.com

freekeen.com



First, San Diego County's child-welfare fraud and foster-prison machine kidnapped Malaika and Xayah. Then it came after her twins. How many Robinson children does this machine believe it is entitled to target before it finally leaves this family alone?

"Anyone who kidnaps someone is to be put to death, whether the victim has been sold or is still in the kidnapper's possession." — Exodus 21:16

