

Members of the San Diego County Board of Supervisors:

I know each of you remembers me. How could you forget the grandfather who stood before this Board after San Diego County took Malaika and Xayah, confronted you with what your system has inflicted on this family, and saw every last one of you stand up and walk out while millions of people watched? I am Ricky Robinson, their loving grandfather. These should be my golden years, but instead I am spending them fighting for the chance to see, hold, and hug my granddaughters again. I cannot visit them, comfort them, celebrate birthdays with them, or share the ordinary moments a grandfather should have. I wake up with that emptiness every day, and I can only imagine what their mother carries. I do not even want to imagine the catastrophic psychological and emotional harm our innocent little girls are suffering—the harm being inflicted on our two children, who should be surrounded by the love, comfort, identity, and security of their family.

You may use innocent children to terrorize families into silence, but this time you are dealing with adults who will not bow, will not be intimidated, and will not live in fear. As long as I am breathing, I will keep fighting for Malaika and Xayah. I am old school. I remain of sound mind, grounded, and determined. I will not become another statistic created by family separation, behavioral-health failure, addiction, or homelessness. I do not fold under pressure, abandon my family, or walk away because a government agency expects me to give up. Malaika and Xayah must come home.

This letter concerns fraud, waste, abuse, retaliation, and the catastrophic harm inflicted on two children and their entire family. San Diego County has spent public money separating these children from their mother, grandparents, great-grandparents, and extended family instead of protecting and preserving their family relationships. There was no history of child abuse or neglect that justified destroying these bonds. The County turned a family matter into years of placements, hearings, attorneys, contractors, evaluations, restraining orders, petitions, and adoption proceedings, all funded by taxpayers.

On June 24, 2025, Evelyn Lopez spoke out and asked for help. Her concerns were not limited to David Miller. She challenged a broader system involving the agency, judges, guardians ad litem, County Counsel, supervisors, caregivers, and other participants who were repeatedly changed or brought into the case. Instead of independently examining what she reported, the system moved against her. Her unsupervised visitation was removed, Section 388 petitions were filed, and the County aggressively pursued the termination of her parental rights. Montgomery's response was essentially to listen to the agency because the children were adoptable. That response did not address Evelyn's concerns. It treated adoption as the destination and the mother and extended family as obstacles.

The timing and sequence cannot be ignored. Evelyn spoke out, and the legal pressure intensified. The County did not identify a new act of abuse or neglect that suddenly made unsupervised visitation unsafe. Instead, the actions against her escalated after she challenged people connected to the system.

That is not meaningful oversight or family preservation. It is a sequence that demands an independent investigation into whether government authority was used to retaliate against a mother who spoke out.

The Board of Supervisors has an oversight responsibility. Telling families to listen to the agency is not oversight. Accepting whatever County employees place in front of you is not oversight. Remaining silent while children are permanently severed from safe and loving relatives is not oversight. When a parent reports misconduct and then loses visitation and faces termination of parental rights, the Board has a duty to examine the facts outside the agencies and individuals whose conduct is being questioned.

This case represents fraud, waste, and abuse of taxpayer dollars. The public is paying for every hearing, attorney, contractor, placement, evaluation, filing, restraining order, and additional month or year that these children remain separated. Taxpayers deserve to know how much money has been spent, who received it, what financial incentives were connected to foster care or adoption, and why reunification and placement with blood relatives were not honestly and thoroughly pursued.

Taxpayer money should not be used to punish a mother for speaking out. It should not be used to pursue retaliatory restraining orders against a loving grandfather who spent years serving as caregiver, conservator, and trustee for the girls' beloved elderly great-grandmother, whose final years were overshadowed by the terror and trauma inflicted on this family. It should not be used to overwhelm a family through endless legal action, protect agencies from scrutiny, or manufacture an appearance of legitimacy after harmful decisions have already been made. Public money should protect children, preserve safe families, provide necessary services, and correct government mistakes.

One of the most disturbing issues requiring investigation is how private caregivers Norma Olivares and Ronald Rivas-Silverio were permitted to maintain control over Malaika and Xayah while their mother and blood relatives were pushed aside. The Board must examine their actions, their communications with the agency, County Counsel, guardians ad litem, and other case participants, and whether they worked with anyone to obstruct contact, relative placement, or reunification. The County must also explain how non-relatives were allowed to take priority over blood family while kinship placement and family-first principles were supposedly being followed.

The County must investigate how its records came to portray me as a rapist who raped Evelyn, while separately accusing me of sexually abusing my own toddler granddaughter. Norma also claimed that Evelyn and I followed her to her car, that I was rude to her, and that I never offered to work with her—even though I had never met her and I have proof that I did offer to work with her. If the agency genuinely believed these accusations, how was my RFA—Resource Family Approval—approved, and how had I previously been trusted to serve as a caregiver, conservator, and trustee without any such allegations arising until after my grandchildren were taken? The Board must determine who created these false narratives, who repeated them, what was submitted under oath, and how they were used to obtain restraining orders and isolate me from my grandchildren.

Malaika and Xayah should not be forced to live a Cinderella-like nightmare in which private caregivers control their home, their daily lives, and whether they may know, see, or receive comfort from their own family. The family has raised serious concerns about this placement and the conduct of the caregivers. Those concerns should never have been dismissed merely because the agency, County Counsel, or guardians ad litem supported maintaining the placement. The Board must investigate why County participants continued protecting a caregiver the family has repeatedly identified as dangerous instead of conducting a genuinely independent review focused on the safety and emotional well-being of the children.

San Diego County repeatedly demands more money from residents through trash programs, parking meters, parking fees, zoning requirements, development decisions, taxes, assessments, and other charges. Residents are constantly told that another fee, program, or government project is necessary. Yet roads remain neglected, housing remains unaffordable, homelessness continues, and people suffer and die in encampments and temporary shelters. The County announces behavioral-health programs while vulnerable people and families continue falling through the cracks.

At the same time, enormous resources are devoted to separating children from their families and defending the agencies responsible. That is the definition of misplaced priorities. Before demanding more money from residents, this Board should account for the money already being spent and explain why it is funding prolonged family destruction instead of genuine public service.

The County separates children from their families, causes catastrophic psychological and emotional harm, and then brings behavioral health into the picture as though the system is treating damage it helped create. From there, children may be placed on psychotropic drugs instead of being restored to the love, stability, and protection of their families. The Board must investigate whether County agencies and contractors are creating trauma, expanding behavioral-health involvement, and using public money to manage the consequences of family separation rather than preventing that harm.

I have been relentlessly contacting elected officials and oversight authorities across this country. My outreach has included the White House, the President, the Vice President, the First Lady, attorneys general, inspectors general, legislators, civil-rights organizations, journalists, documentary producers, and others with the authority or public platform to examine what San Diego County has done. I will continue expanding that effort.

This family's story has already reached a national audience through a documentary that received more than 2.2 million views on X alone. The relevant portion appears at approximately the one-hour-and-forty-one-minute mark. San Diego County may have assumed this case would remain buried in a courtroom or hidden inside agency files. That assumption is over. The public is watching, and many more people will learn what happened.

I am preparing to pursue every lawful remedy available, including a potential civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against San Diego County and any responsible officials or participants. Government employees acting under color of law are not above the law. Records, court filings, communications, recordings, dates, witnesses, financial documents, and the complete chronology will speak for themselves.

Some officials may assume that I am bluffing or that I have nothing in my hand. They do not know whether my poker face is hiding a three, five, seven, nine, and a deuce—or a royal flush of spades. I have spent years documenting events, preserving information, communicating with officials, and learning how this system operates. I will continue placing that information before people with the authority to investigate it.

This is not a threat of unlawful action. It is notice that I will continue using public records, lawful advocacy, litigation, elections, media, documentaries, correspondence, peaceful public exposure, and every other legal avenue available to me. I do not fear San Diego County. I do not fear political pressure, government attorneys, agency employees, private caregivers, or elected officials protecting one another.

I will also work to ensure voters know which supervisors acted, which remained silent, and which allowed this harm to continue. No elected official is entitled to remain in office after ignoring fraud, waste, abuse, retaliation, and catastrophic harm to children and families. Residents have the right to examine your records and decide whether any of you deserve another term in those chairs.

You may consider this another letter in a large stack of correspondence. It is not. Every letter creates another record. Every unanswered request becomes additional evidence of failed oversight.

Evelyn Lopez asked for help on June 24, 2025. Evelyn never missed a single visit with her children. Yet she is now denied any contact with them because of a profound miscarriage of justice. The response was not protection. The response was lost visitation, Section 388 litigation, an intensified effort to terminate her parental rights, and permanent separation from her children. The result has been devastating. It reflects a grave disregard for family bonds, human dignity, and the emotional well-being of children.

Malaika and Xayah are not case numbers, funding units, adoption statistics, or property of San Diego County. They are children with a mother, grandparents, great-grandparents, relatives, history, identity, and people who love them. Government agencies and private caregivers do not have the moral right to erase those relationships and then call the destruction a successful outcome.

The Board must order a genuinely independent investigation into the actions taken before and after June 24, 2025. That investigation must examine the removal of unsupervised visitation, the Section 388 filings, the pursuit of termination, the response to Evelyn's report, retaliatory restraining orders, the financial costs of the case, the role of contractors and caregivers, the conduct and communications of Norma Olivares and Ronald Rivas-Silverio, the actions of guardians ad litem and County Counsel, the handling of relative

placement, and whether government power was used to retaliate against a mother, her family, an independent investigative reporter, and other advocates who spoke out.

I will not stop. I will not be intimidated. I will not allow the passage of time to make this disappear. I will continue fighting for Malaika and Xayah until the full truth is exposed, those responsible are held accountable through lawful means, including a federal investigation, and these girls are restored to their family.

Fraud. Waste. Abuse. Retaliation. Catastrophic harm.

That is the record this Board has been placed on notice to investigate.

Malaika and Xayah must come home.

July 28, 2026

For the record,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Ricky G. Robinson". The signature is written over a horizontal line.

Ricky G. Robinson

Blood Advocate for Malaika and Xayah

636-234-1024

drum_king12@yahoo.com

freekeenan.com



First, San Diego County's child-welfare fraud and foster-prison machine kidnapped Malaika and Xayah. Then it came after her twins. How many Robinson children does this machine believe it is entitled to target before it finally leaves this family alone?

"Anyone who kidnaps someone is to be put to death, whether the victim has been sold or is still in the kidnapper's possession." — Exodus 21:16

